

Identity

SANTA SAYS:



£1.95
December 2003
Issue 39

Anti-Social Behaviour:



Reasons & Remedies p12

VOTE BNP

December's News

■ EU OVERRULES BRITAIN ON IMMIGRATION

Britain's control over its immigration policy was called into question last month after the European Court overruled a Home Office refusal to allow a Moroccan to live in the UK. The EU Court created this precedent when it said Hacene Akrich who married a British woman while living illegally in the country could not be refused entry (from Dublin) under European free movement rules. Akrich had been trying to settle in Britain since 1989 and was deported twice before returning in 1996, when he married.

Meanwhile, the EU is failing to keep track of huge annual subsidies, and 91 per cent of its budget is riddled with errors or cannot be verified, said a financial watchdog last month. For the ninth successive year the European Court of Auditors refused to certify EU accounts. Abuse is endemic in the Common Agricultural Policy, which still consumes almost half the £65 billion budget.

With the UKIP approaching collapse, the voters can only turn to the BNP to rescue Britain from the power of the Brussels bureaucrats.

■ PC SCOTS AXE CHRISTMAS

In a bid to appear "socially inclusive", the Scottish Parliament has axed all references to "Christmas" in its official greeting cards sent out this year by MSPs.

A spokesperson

(spokesman?) for the Scottish Parliament said: "The phrase 'Seasons Greetings' in English and Gaelic was chosen by the Corporate Body as a message which would appeal to as broad a section of the Scottish population as possible."

A Muslim spokesman said that he received Christmas cards in the spirit they were intended and did not find them at all offensive.

■ SWISS PATRIOTS ON A ROLL



Christian Blocher leader of Switzerland's SVP

The anti-immigration Swiss Peoples Party (SVP) came top of the poll with 27% in last month's Swiss election. They are now demanding a second seat on the seven man coalition cabinet that forms the Government.

The vote was the Swiss people's answer to the economic and social burdens they suffer as a result of huge numbers of economic migrants, mainly from Africa and the Middle East, who threaten to overwhelm the seven million Swiss. The backlash has come in France, Holland, Belgium and now in Switzerland, with movements all having similar views to the BNP leading the way. Will Britain be next? We think so,

for there is no greater force in history than an idea whose time has come.

■ TWELVE MIDLAND POLICE IN BNP

The *Sunday Mercury* reported (November 2nd) that twelve serving West Midlands Police officers are paid-up members of the BNP. This was confirmed by Simon Darby, the BNP's West Midlands regional organiser and a Dudley Councillor.

A former West Midlands Police inspector, John Phazey, is running as a BNP candidate in next year's Euro elections.

In reply to "shock, horror" type comments from Kirk Dawes, chairman of West Midlands Black Police Association, and also Weyman Bennett of the Anti-Nazi League, Mr Phazey said that when he was in the Police "of course you heard words like 'paki' and 'nigger' but it didn't mean any more than someone saying Paddy for an Irishman or Jerry for a German". "It was just the language of working class blokes. There was a fair bit of leg-pulling but it was never malicious. You'll get that anywhere when you have men in their 20s and 30s together."

Identity

Editor: John Bean

December 2003

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscription telephone:
0870 75 73 267

Articles may be submitted for publication to the email address below

in typed/electronic format
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk, CB8 8WT

Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

Blunkett's hot air on immigration restrictions

The Queen's Speech outlining the Government's legislative programme contained several new bills that threaten our freedom, our independence and our identity. The Constitutional Reform Bill will establish a Supreme Court (shades of the Soviet Union) and abolish the centuries old office of the Lord Chancellor. The House of Lords Bill will abolish the remaining 92 hereditary peers and establish a commission to appoint non-party members - all good liberal minded hacks, of course, with ethnic minorities well represented. The European Union Bill would give effect in UK law to all the latest enactments of the European Union Treaty.

However, the major emphasis was given to the Asylum and Immigration Bill. Blunkett's tough talk on limiting rejected asylum seekers to only one appeal; to making it an imprisonable offence to burn passports or identity papers; to speeding up deportations, including taking their children into care if they refused a free flight home; is all so much hot air. Labour knows that European Human Rights legislation and the 1951 UN refugee convention would severely limit any restrictions on the asylum flood. Yet it also knows it must play with strong words in order to stop the haemorrhaging of its votes to the BNP. The only practical answer is to opt out of the Human Rights legislation (a move which BNP Euro MEPs would assist) and stop further immigration.

One can rest assured that Michael Howard's new image Tories, who can match New Labour for empty promises, would take no stronger steps than those proposed on paper by Blunkett. Howard has already indicated this in his Parliamentary response to the Queen's Speech.

Two days after the Queen's Speech the Government, with impeccable timing, announced that Tony Blair's promise to Jeremy Paxman on BBC 2's *News Night* earlier this year that he would "reduce the number of asylum seekers by 50 per cent by September" had been achieved. In reality this 'reduction' has about as much truth as the claim that "crime figures are falling". The asylum figure reduction was achieved by increasing the number of work permits and increasing the number of people now classified as "illegal immigrants".

More recently on Jeremy Paxman's *News Night* programme, Blunkett came out with the astounding statement that there was "no obvious limit" to the number of immigrants that this already crowded country of 60 million could accommodate. Despite it being pointed out to him that London now has the highest unemployment rate in Britain, he insisted that the UK, and London in particular, needed more immigrant workers. Blair has not sacked him for uttering such nonsense. Could it be that mass immigration, legal or otherwise, is actually viewed by the political establishment including the Tories and big business as a means of making Britain a low-wage economy?

Blunkett is also on record as admitting that he "hadn't got a clue" how many illegal immigrants there were in Britain. Well Migrationwatch UK has, which is why it has been abused by Barbara Roche, a former Home Office minister, who said its statistics were "a jumping-off point to attack multiculturalism" It believes that two million extra people, legal or illegal, every 10 years is a very conservative estimate.

Figures I have given in *Identity* over this past year

would indicate that we have had two million extra immigrants during the past five years.

As for all the spin that "without new people there will be a decline in the working age population", this is wrong. Mainly because women will work longer the population of working age will increase over the next 20 years, before there is any decline. As Britain's top labour economist, Prof Richard Layard of the LSE, pointed out in a letter to the *Financial Times* : "There is a huge amount of evidence that any increase in the number of unskilled workers lowers unskilled wages and increases the unskilled unemployment rate. Employers gain from unskilled immigration. But the unskilled does not."

It could be illegal to criticise the government

The Queen's Speech also revealed another objective of New Labour which could have serious implications for the right of free speech; not that this seems to have been noticed by the media. These are the wide ranging new emergency legal powers of the Civil Contingencies Bill to deal with the aftermath of a large terrorist attack in Britain. We would have thought that this would already have been covered by the 2000 Terrorism Act. The new measures could potentially outlaw participation in a protest march, such as that held last month during President Bush's visit, making it, in effect a criminal offence to criticise government policy.

To emphasise the potential need for these new powers, the day after the Queen's Speech, with wonderful timing armed police raided houses in Gloucester, Manchester and Blackburn to arrest several 'home grown' Al-Qaeda suspects of Asian origin.

The intelligence services working for the Government are fully aware that the potential terrorists will come from a small minority of fundamental religious extremists based in Britain. Therefore, more restrictions on the freedom of the rest of the people are not the answer. The obvious one is to stop all further immigration so that no more recruits for the fifth column are allowed to slip in among the ordinary, mainly law-abiding, economic refugees.

Are we becoming paranoid if we suggest that one of the motives behind these new legal powers is to get at the BNP? Blunkett said recently that he would like to ban the BNP, "but unfortunately I can't". This proposed new legislation would be a useful tool to enable him to do it.



John Bean
Editor



**British National Party
Chairman, Nick Griffin,
spells out the reasons
for some tough
choices in the future**

NEXT YEAR WILL see the British National Party launching our biggest ever challenge for elected office. Naturally enough, most media eyes are fixed on the European Parliament, even though, in fact, our best chances of a breakthrough lie in the Greater London Assembly and in the strong possibility of a big jump in the number and geographical spread of our councillors. Equally naturally, with just over six months left to head off our potential breakthrough, all sorts of opponents are doing everything possible to get in our way.

Some of these things are blatantly obvious – Patricia Hewitt's pledge to introduce new 'laws' allowing left-wing union leaders to expel BNP members, for example, or the plans by 'anti-racist' groups to stand in the Euro elections so as to get the legal right and the freepost facilities to send out millions of lie-filled smear leaflets against us next June. Others, are rather more subtle – such as the kind of outrageously perverse court decisions made against the BNP in legal cases as far afield as Stoke (over the rigging of the Mayoral election there) Newcastle (against a BNP member accused of libel against a group of powerful Labour councillors) and Bournemouth (where the other side were allowed to get away with delivering key documents on the day of the hearing itself, leaving us no time to answer them, and where our subsequent application for a retrial was refused in extraordinarily unusual circumstances).

Other attacks are more underhand still, with black propaganda rumour mills clearly hard at work feeding local and Internet grapevines with hair-raising tales which – if true – would indicate that the people running the BNP are stark-staring potty, sexual deviants, crooks, or all three. But they just aren't true – not that 'truth' is particularly high on the agenda of our detractors.

But as well as the outright lies, the people who are desperately trying to knock us off course also make much use of allegations about aspects of party strategy or policy where, for one reason or another – generally either lack of time to explain, or the political imperative to deny openly hostile journalists a public relations stick with which to

The 'big splash' in the media that our European Election campaign makes will generate the necessary publicity for BNP local council candidates, such as John West in Stoke, get elected.



Putting the record straight

beat us – there is a lack of clarity about where we stand. Additionally, as anyone with an ounce of commonsense or a few pounds of Change Management theory in their heads will tell you, in any organisation which has undergone a period of change – as the BNP very clearly has in recent years in the course of being made electable – there will at the end of that period be a degree of uncertainty. Is more change on the way? Are any more of our old certainties being jettisoned? We obviously had to give an inch, but will it become a mile? Such worries are all too easily exploited by troublemakers, but they are in their own right natural, legitimate and in need of laying to rest.

Clearly then, it is useful to deal with the main 'grey areas' and this month, when political activity winds down for the Great Festival of Conspicuous Over-Consumption (formerly known as 'Christmas') is a good time to do it. So instead of using this column to remind readers how well we've done over the last year, or to tell you what needs to be done next year, I'm going to explain the party's position in four areas in which some people may be unclear as to where we stand or why.

European Parliament or Local Councils – Which is more important?

With our £200,000 target for the European Elections, it is easy to understand how some people have concluded that we regard the European Parliamentary vote next June 10th as more important than the local council elections being held on the same day. Not so, though there certainly are big prizes to be won in a good campaign: The European contest is the big media event. A 'throw-out' of some 20 million BNP leaflets will win fresh recruits and help establish us in the public mind on more issues than asylum. A bad result for UKIP and a good one for us will help kill off a dangerous rival for this country's limited patriotic activist base. Getting one or even several MEPs elected would be a huge leap forward in terms of party income, media profile, political credibility and easier access to the European Court of Human Rights. Additionally, in the New Labour Stalinist dictatorship heralded by recent anti-democratic outbursts by the likes of David Blunkett and Patricia Hewitt, such major elected status would be a major deterrent to arbitrary political repression. But however useful MEPs would be for those reasons, it is still the case that even if we got one elected in all our best regions (which is highly unlikely), our representation in Strasbourg would still be so small as to have very restricted influence. So invaluable though the Euro contest could prove to be, by itself it wouldn't be enough.

At some stage in the future, a massive pan-European block of nationalist MEPs may well be the main instrument of a continent-wide political revolution; but until that moment comes our only chance of exercising serious political power in Britain lies through the institutions of government here at home, particularly on local councils in areas on the front line of the multi-racial 'experiment.'

Treason and folly

As explained here several months ago, our job is not to take the anti-white sting out of the enforced imposition of that genocidal 'experiment', thereby protecting its architects from the consequences of their own treason or folly. Our job is to expose the

cruelties, the injustice and the pain, and thereby to highlight the contradictions in the ‘experiment’ so as to win the support we need to bring it to an end. Our job is to educate more and more people in the realities of anti-white discrimination and violence, thereby removing the apathy which is the main thing allowing those destroying our society to get away with it.

Useful though it would be to have a few members sitting in Strasburg and Westminster, the best place for us to expose, resist and begin to dismantle the multi-racial ‘experiment’ is at local council level. This is why, several months before we launched our big fund-raising effort for the Euros, our internal Organisers’ Bulletin was stressing to our key local officials the absolute urgency of organising canvassing teams, distributing local issue leaflets and setting up paper rounds in target wards. “Act now to win next June” is the message we’ve been putting across ever since the last council elections.

Other assets

Our European Election Fund is, for the reasons already outlined, absolutely vital, but that hasn’t stopped an equally important steady increase in the number of local BNP branches owning their own digital printers – the only thing, other than a small team of dedicated activists, that you really need to win a council election. We’ve got a dozen of these superb machines now, so that no BNP group with winnable seats is more than a sensible drive away from almost instant, low-cost printing.

Thus making a big splash in the European contest (and the Greater London Assembly, for that matter), and winning a record number of council seats next June, are not mutually exclusive. Both are potentially vital next steps forward, so it is fortunate that the former aim mainly revolves around money and central/regional organisation, while the latter mainly requires dedicated manpower at local level. Most important of all, the media coverage likely to result from our European challenge will feed through in terms of credibility for our council candidates too. The two campaigns will be mutually reinforcing, complimentary rather than diversionary.

We can, in short, both have our Euro-cake and eat our local council victories. Anyone who tries to tell you otherwise either hasn’t thought things through or, in the case of opposition plants, is merely trying to throw a spanner in the works.

Blacks in the BNP?

This is another area where there are two motives for suggesting the thing in question. A few individuals – the good-hearted but politically naïve – think it would be a good thing because it would help us to overcome the ‘racist’ tag which undoubtedly costs us a significant amount of support. Others – the enemy plants and the plain malcontents – know that it isn’t really going to happen, but understand that so many BNP members are absolutely sick of Political Correctness and enforced multi-culturalism that the idea that it could happen in the party itself is deeply disturbing, and hence a very good weapon to provoke internal dissension.

That said, I have to say that I understand how the presence of, for example, a Sikh columnist in *Freedom* might lead even those who understand the political and legal utility of such a move to worry that it might be “the thin end of the wedge”.

Well, I can tell them here and now that it isn’t. The BNP’s constitution expressly states that only individuals of British (i.e. English, Scots, Welsh and Irish), or closely kindred European stock may join as members. Not only do I not intend to try to change this fundamental rule, but I would vigorously oppose anyone else attempting to push for such a change, and I have no



“As society drifts into Balkanisation, any ethnic group which doesn’t have a powerful organisation to look after and promote its interests will come off second best.”

doubt that a large majority of the party would back me on this.

Reality

Does this make us ‘racist bigots’? No. It simply means that we have taken notice of the realities of political power in a multi-racial ‘society.’ Because, in fact, there is no such thing as a multi-racial society; what is described as such is in fact an unnatural state, held together in the final analysis by repression, in which different ethnic groups jockey for their share (fair or otherwise) of a strictly limited pie.

As such a society drifts by fits and starts into Balkanisation, any ethnic group which doesn’t have a powerful organisation whose express purpose is to look after and promote the interests of that group will inevitably come off second best in the struggle for pie at the hands of those which do. In the exclusively anti-white multi-racial mess which is modern Britain, however, while Black Police Officers Associations, Black Lawyers Associations, Bangladeshi Cultural Associations, Muslim Councils and Old Uncle Tom (clearly black) Cobbleleigh Associations are all not just legal but actively encouraged (invariably with our tax money), it is illegal for any such group to set up in defence of white interests.

By a strange quirk of the law, while every other ethnic group can have as many bodies promoting its interests as human ingenuity and the generosity of the British taxpayer can produce, the native, white European peoples of these islands are only permitted a political party; our people have the British National Party or they have nothing. That’s why it has to stay white, which includes keeping out people of mixed race, since these unfortunates invariably identify with the non-European part of their ancestry. If anyone doesn’t like that, they should blame the left-liberal social engineers who have created a system which pits ethnic group against ethnic group, and denied our people the right to choose whom they wish to associate with in leisure and cultural activities.

“Friends, not family”

The above is an additional argument, the fundamental human right to ‘discriminate’ – to chose who one does or does not wish to associate with, without having to justify that decision to some public morality police – but the increasingly hard-contested struggle for pie is the key problem created by multi-racialism, so that must remain the chief reason for the BNP to explain politely and without rancour to sympathetic members of other ethnic groups that we will continue to regard them as “friends, not family”. Only one thing could change this, and that would be the imposition of a Europe-wide change in the law which would ban any political party which operates what the liberal-left would

describe as a 'racist' membership policy. Clearly that would then require very careful consideration in the light of the necessities of organisational survival. Unless or until that time I would stress, however, that the British National Party's current membership requirements should not be changed.

Homosexuals and the BNP

The vast majority of the party, and of our natural supporters, are entirely happy with our position on homosexuality. This, in brief, is that it is not a 'valid lifestyle choice' akin to deciding what colour shirt to wear, but a form of behavioural deviancy with a shocking impact on the health and happiness of many of those who suffer from it.

Additionally, there is clear evidence that homosexuals, even in the modern climate where they are a favoured, rather than an oppressed, group, still tend to identify with their own kind, and with other 'minorities', rather than with the nation as a whole. This leads nationalists to an ideological as well as a moral and practical rejection of homosexuality, since any group which sets its own kind up as more important than the nation of which it is a part is divisive and damaging to that nation.

Equally clear, however, is the increasing evidence uncovered by biologists and geneticists which shows that the majority of sexual differences and preferences in the majority of people are innate. A few might be capable of being 'turned' into homosexuals, or 'cured' of homosexuality but most are born the way they are, and no environmental pressures will change them.

Hell-bent

Unfortunately, however, a section of the homosexual element within our society seems unable to accept this and - together with the cultural revolutionaries who now dominate so much of the 'British' Establishment - are hell-bent on forcing homosexuality down the throats of the rest of us in general, and our children in particular.

While we have no intention of creating a Bedroom Police who would seek out and persecute consenting adults for what they do in the privacy of their own homes, we - as the only political party which refuses to go along with the Politically Correct fiction that homosexuals are always the innocent victims of 'homophobia' - do speak out for the majority of the population who believe in their heart of hearts that homosexuality is wrong. We must speak for those who do not want it taught to their children, and who believe that it needs to be pushed humanely but firmly back into the closet. Already, in Scotland, it has been held in a court of law that it is legal for a middle-aged man to have sex with a 14-year-old boy. What was sold to the public as ending bigotry against homosexuals has become the legalisation of paedophilia and the perversion of certain high ranking members of the Labour party.

This shouldn't just concern parents, or even just the heterosexual majority. Sensible homosexuals should be alarmed as well, for such a state of affairs is an indicator that the pendulum has swung way too far, and that if the triumphalist 'Gay' lobby con-

tinues to press their aims further they risk setting off an almighty backlash which will force even their more discrete bedfellows back on the road to Reading Gaol.

Voice of commonsense

With the national abolition of Clause 28, the promotion of homosexuality in schools is going to become a major bone of contention in local government. Speaking as the voice of commonsense and the will of the Silent Majority, BNP councillors, especially those sitting on authorities which control local education, will be required as a matter of party policy to press for the introduction of local Clause 28s. This is a matter of principle as well as political necessity, and every single BNP councillor - or council candidate - in the country must be happy with this, because it's going to become a touchstone issue.

Unlike the knee-jerk bigots and religious cranks, I believe that decent, non-predatory homosexuals do exist, even if they do not any longer repress the feelings they cannot help in the way that many 'old-school' clergymen and bachelor teachers used to.

But there's a problem here. While I do not think that such individuals are any threat to anyone, I also do not see how they could stand for the introduction of a local authority Clause 28; there would be, quite simply, too great a clash of interests between the calling of their strongest instinct and what would be required of them politically. It would, in fact, be intolerable psychological cruelty even to ask them to choose.

Line in the sand

I believe, therefore, that it is time to draw another one of our BNP lines in the sand. I believe that no overt (by which I mean that we don't know, and couldn't know) practicing homosexual should be a BNP councillor. Therefore, since I do not believe that we could operate a hypocritical selection procedure whereby one could stand in a hopeless seat but not in one we could win, it follows that no overt homosexual should stand as a BNP candidate. And since, in turn, we can't in either law or basic fairness deny one group of party members rights which are open to all the rest, I don't see how we can accept open homosexuals as party members either.

So we're back quite close to the position with ethnic minorities: We don't wish pro-British blacks, or discrete and patriotic homosexuals (and, yes, many are, sometimes more intensely and genuinely so than many a lager-swilling, bawling football 'patriot') any ill whatsoever. But - for the reasons outlined above - we do have to ask them, if they can't keep their tendencies absolutely to themselves, to keep their distance.

Why is our Party machine run by 'Monsters'?

Finally, I want to deal with the question of Party bureaucracy, in particular our Treasury machine. It is quite traditional for the treasury, in any level of any organisation, to be not particularly popular. Whoever handles this organisational Cup of Woe is almost bound to give offence, either by asking for 'too much' money, or by telling people within the organisation who need cash to fund some project which they regard as absolutely vital that there just isn't enough money available to do everything they want. This is as true of a local sports club as it is of a political party.

But, in the case of political parties, things got a whole lot worse a couple of years ago. The dark person in the woodpile is a body called the Electoral Commission. Ostensibly set up to prevent wealthy businessmen or corporations from buying political influence, the Commission is in fact another Blairite/Europheilic salami-slicer, designed right from the start to achieve, bit-by-bit, an aim so fundamentally undemocratic that even a hint of its true purpose would provoke uproar even in dumbed-down, apathetic Britain.

We speak for the majority who don't want homosexuality taught in our schools



Strangled by red tape

That purpose is, without a doubt, slowly to strangle the habit of personal and even business and union donations to political parties. Not just the BNP - although hitting us first and hardest is clearly an extra bonus in the eyes of the liberals responsible for running all political parties. The eventual aim is to make it so hard for parties to raise funds in the old-fashioned way that in the end they all give up and accept, with tails between their red-tape-trussed legs, state funding.

This is the Eureaucrats' dream: The electors still choose between different parties, but all of the parties have to dance to the tune played by their unelected Brussels paymasters. Simple, deadly to true democracy, and coming to Britain as soon as they can grind the parties down far enough to regard it as inevitable.

In pursuit of this hidden agenda, the Electoral Commission has imposed on every party the legal obligation to work out a very precise, totally foolproof set of internal regulations so that it can record, track and account for every single penny it raises. And, having worked out those regulations (in the course of which the Commission intervened repeatedly to ensure that nothing and nobody could slip through the net), every party also has a legal obligation to enforce them, even though this necessarily means treating every single member of the party, even the unpaid volunteers, as either an idiot or a potential perpetrator of fraud.

Not just us!

Every serious party in the country has hit major problems with this as a result: The Labour party has been fined thousands of pounds for accepting perfectly legitimate donations which were not recorded in time. The Tories have been wracked with local dissent over moves by Central Office to 'nationalise' Constitutional Clubs which have run independently but given the Conservatives money and office space since the time of D'Israeli. Scottish Socialist Party members have been hauled over the coals and threatened with jail for donating part of their salaries to their party, as per their election pledges but without filling in the proper forms. UKIP have reached the edge of a civil war between their National Treasurer and their best known MEP, over the latter's refusal to submit his part of the operation to the scrutiny of the former – a piece of interference which the unfortunate Treasurer is bound to carry out on pain of a six month prison sentence if he fails to do so.

Now, if I was running the BNP's Treasury operation, being a polite (except to the likes of Jeremy Paxman) and soft sort of person, I would be polite and soft and would let our own local officials and our own versions of that UKIP MEP go on doing things the way they used to be done before the Electoral Commission got to work. As a result, I might for a little while be a more popular Treasurer, but sooner or later we'd be caught breaking the ridiculous rules and not only me, but probably some of the people who would initially favour my polite and soft approach, would go to prison, while thousands of peoples' membership dues would go down the drain in fines.

Banned in all but name

Oh yes, and the Commission would be able to try out their statutory power to 'deregister' a political party which fails to play by the new rules. So what? If you're deregistered, you can't stand in elections, that's what - banned in all but name.

Fortunately, I don't run our Treasury Department. It's run by a hard-nosed Yorkshireman and a pugnacious British bulldog from Coventry, which is why this party hasn't broken the law, and has survived the clear malice and bias shown by some (though not all) of the Commission's staff. Initially, these two went against

Our Treasury Department is run by a hard-nosed Yorkshireman and a pugnacious British bulldog from Coventry, which is why this party hasn't broken the law, and has survived the clear malice and bias of the Electoral Commission.

their natures to be almost as polite and soft as I would have liked them to be, whereupon a significant section of the party's volunteer officials promptly took no notice of them.

In the first system we worked out, every region had its own Treasurer, so that local officials would run their own financial systems and only deal with the National Treasury staff when there was a specific need to. But after two years we found that only two of them were able to do the job and get it right. In retrospect, that wasn't surprising, since all concerned joined the BNP to play their part in a political struggle for our national and ethnic survival, rather than to count beans and enforce a set of stupid rules on other people with the same lofty aims. But, as a result of this, we had no choice but to centralise the entire treasury operation as it is run now.

I still find some of the phraseology used in some Treasury documents too blunt for my taste. I still find it vexing to be pulled up by one of the Treasury staff every couple of days for something I've done or proposed to someone which threatens to drive a coach and horses through the laws that they have no choice but to enforce.

Keeping us legal

But whatever our activists and organisers sacrifice to build local support, get new recruits, and win council seats, whatever our top strategists and publicists come up with to grab the headlines and get our message across to an increasingly receptive public, it would all be for nothing if the Electoral Commission got the excuse to deregister us or to drive us into the ground with punitive fines. Whatever any of us do to push the party forward, it is only John Brayshaw, Mark Payne and all the local fund-holders who, by doing their jobs to the irritating letter, who can keep us legal. The alternative to a pushy Treasury Department is far worse. It is, for example, to behave like the silly, naïve Tories of Australia's One Nation, who thought that obscure bureaucratic legislation which had never been used against anyone wouldn't be used against them either because it would be too 'unfair'. Which is why poor Pauline Hanson has only just got out of prison, returning to a wrecked, bankrupt shambles.

As the party grows, there are other areas in which we will be forced to impose a level of bureaucracy. The Group Development Department, for example, which already requires regular report sheets from local organisers, will shortly be insisting on getting extra bits of paperwork back within certain time limits. In the run up to the next election, we will be insisting on closer checks on new candidates in a renewed effort to avoid 'own goals'. All such things are, we know, not only necessary but also a thorough going nuisance for all concerned. It really isn't fair. Get used to it: When you're playing for the stakes we in the British National Party are playing for, life isn't fair. Life's a game of winners and losers, and you don't always win by being nice and trying to keep everybody happy. I'm sure that some of the things I've written here this month will make some people unhappy one way or another. If so, I can apologise, but I can't do anything different.

My job is to do whatever it takes to steer us towards victory, not to win a popularity contest.

STEVE JOHNSON asks

Should Britain Become a 'Mongrel Nation'?

Mongrel Nation, broadcast on the cable Discovery Channel in June in three one hour episodes fronted by the transvestite comedian and noted New Labour supporter, Eddie Izzard, marked the opening shot in the Establishment's new campaign to market multiracialism to their increasingly unhappy subjects. As I argued in the first part of this article (October), the line put forward by this propaganda is twofold: both descriptive – in Mr Izzard's words “England is not becoming a multi-racial society – it always was one; and prescriptive: “Immigration is a force for good which adds to the richness and diversity of our lives”.

LAST TIME I DEALT with the first part of this argument, and showed that England, and indeed Britain, was not “always a multi-racial society”. Now we come to answer the second part - “Is Immigration A Good Thing?”

Mr Izzard's arguments here are sometimes simply silly. At one point he informs us that mongrel dogs are cleverer than pedigree ones. This would be news to shepherds entering their pedigree Border Collies at sheepdog trials or the handlers of pedigree German Shepherd police and army dogs. Pedigree poodles, with the possible exception of one E. Izzard, are also notably clever beasts too.

Mr Izzard may be arguing that racially mixed “mongrel” people are a master race, genetically superior to us stupid “pedigree” purebreds. But somehow I suspect this is not quite his point here. This, like quite a bit of Eddie’s series, looks like just another amusingly woven bit of wool being pulled over the audience’s eyes.

At other times he tells us things that may be true but are not relevant. Such as how not everything we use here was invented here. True enough – no one suggests that the English invented everything (though Mr Izzard ignores the fact that for the people of some small offshore islands the English and their fellow Britons invented rather a lot). Nor that there is anything wrong with learning from other people. In the case of the most important ideas and inventions, as Our Eddie is very careful not to stress, they came almost exclusively from other Europeans, fellow members of the common European culture and civilization we share. Of which we can take pride in being a part without thereby having to be ruled by bureaucrats in Brussels! Perhaps the only important elements of our society not originated by our fellow ethnic Europeans are techniques such as gunpowder and paper-making, and possibly printing which, although they came from China, were in fact not brought to Europe by Chinese Immigrants but by simple diffusion of ideas. Which is in fact the main way ideas and inventions, and even languages and cultures, spread.

Immigration not necessary


The huge hole in Izzard's argument in bombarding us at wearisome length with an interminable litany of "foreign" things in English culture, from coinage to pyjamas, from stew to shampoo, is that whilst these may have been valuable enhancements to the life of our people, they did not need vast hordes of immigrants to spread them to our shores. Some, such as coinage, did not need any immigrants at all.

In fact, the spread of ideas generally does not require physical immigration and is therefore not an argument for it. Japan, for example, after the Meiji Restoration in 1868 deliberately introduced Western technology, industry, and vast slabs of Western culture from political and legal systems to everyday clothing.

But all without any permanent foreign immigration at all – Japan in fact to this day is one of the least multiracial, but hardly one of the least successful, societies in the World. Yet it is the country whose society and culture has been perhaps the most radically changed by imported foreign ideas over the last 150 years. And we, for example, hardly needed to import a million Indians to teach us how to make curry! If indeed curry was not, as some argue, a dish invented by British troops in India in the first place!

Some foreign imports, such as a new language, do imply some physical foreign presence. But not necessarily a very large one in terms of numbers, as opposed to power. English replaced Irish as the everyday language of Ireland because English-speaking Britons ruled the Irish, not because they replaced them. Old English, upon whose Germanic origins Eddie and his Frisian farmer were actually rather good, may well have replaced Old British, the ancestor of modern Welsh, in a similar way. However I don't think Eddie is seriously arguing that being conquered by foreigners and ending up being forced to speak their language is inherently a benefit of immigration.

Few, if any, Pakistanis came to these shores to convert us to their cuisine, to bestow upon us the pleasures of tandoori chicken, as opposed to converting us to their religion and bestowing upon us the doubtful blessings of Islam. But so desperate are Eddie, and the sinister scriptwriters whose words he echoes, to enunciate such benefits that they are even prepared to throw Political Correctness to the winds and Reinforce Ethnic Stereotypes. So for example Jews set up cheap penny bazaars and run the media whilst the Irish dig holes and lay bricks. Asians open corner shops (we are told 70% of such shops are now in Asian hands) and curry houses. West Indians are musical, presumably having natural rhythm! Whilst we natives are parodied as dull, boring, lazy people who need these Immigrants to enliven our culture,



“West Indians are musical, presumably having natural rhythm, whilst we natives are parodied as dull, boring, lazy people who need these immigrants to enliven our culture”

stay open all hours and sell us foreign food.

What makes the whole thing so obviously absurd is that there is not even a pretence of even-handedness or balance. Immigration is portrayed as being entirely positive in its contribution to our nation, with no bad aspects whatever. No racial tension, no street crime, no riots, no muggings, no Yardies, no Midlands Muslims in Afghanistan, no suggestion of immigrants ever taking jobs or homes from English people, nor making them feel foreigners in the towns they had grown up in, no costs of social security or the race relations industry, nothing in the least bad at all. Indeed, since the multiracial society has clearly worked so well, without a single hitch, one can only wonder why it needs Eddie Izzard to try and sell it to us now!

Planet Eddie

But even on Planet Eddie some aspects of the truth will out: even though only in Rose-Coloured Izzardscope. Why did all these kind, gentle, law-abiding, culturally superior immigrants choose to come to our cold, boring and dull country to bestow the benison of their presence on us benighted aborigines? Well, said Eddie, after the last War “England had a huge labour shortage, and people from Commonwealth countries were invited to come to take jobs in the new National Health Service, in transport, in industry and the armed forces”.

Time for a reality check. England after the last war did not have a labour shortage, huge or otherwise. In fact it was, as a matter of Government policy, exporting surplus labour to Australia and Canada. What it did have were unscrupulous and greedy bosses and bureaucrats who wanted to keep the pay of workers such as nurses, bus conductors, and in the Lancashire and Yorkshire textile trades, as low as possible. Hitherto mass unemployment and inadequate poverty-line means tested unemployment benefits had ensured an endless supply of cheap native labour, prepared to work long hours in poor conditions for low pay rather than eke out an even more miserable existence on the dole of the Thirties Slump. But after 1945 the labour movement won – so



“What it did have were unscrupulous and greedy bosses and bureaucrats who wanted to keep the pay of workers such as nurses, bus conductors, and in the Lancashire and Yorkshire textile trades, as low as possible.”

they thought – decent social security benefits and better employment legislation, together with much lower unemployment.

What actually happened from 1948 on is that instead of relying on the “invisible hand” of the market, employers, public and private, relied on the all too visible hands of imported cheap Third World labour; Pakistanis and West Indians prepared to undercut British workers and accept pay and conditions from which our working class thought it had freed our country forever. Curiously the “Right” – blinded by greed for profit – said nothing about this gross distortion of the free market and free trade. And the “Left” – blinded by fear of being Politically Incorrect – did not speak up in defence of British workers being deprived of jobs by imported foreign labour (blacklegs in more ways than one!). Nor indeed did the “Left’s” internationalism extend to defending the interests of Third World countries having their skilled doctors, nurses etc siphoned off to be exploited over here.

Depriving the World’s Poorest

The result was to create a vicious circle whereby the wages of, for example, nurses were kept artificially low, meaning that British people did not want to enter the profession. So there was a continuing shortage of nurses, so even more Third Worlders were shipped in, prepared to work for low wages, thus keeping wages low and British entrants out, and so on. Third World doctors, mostly trained at the expense of the countries they came from, were and are creamed off to Britain. This enabled the Government to train fewer of our own doctors, the cost of which we can afford far more than can India or Pakistan.

The effect, about which liberals such as Mr Izzard are oddly silent, is to deprive the world’s poorest peoples of their own doctors. The ratio of doctors to patients in Pakistan is over ten times worse than that here, for example. The end result, about which self-styled liberal internationalists are even more silent, is that people in the Third World are dying every day as a direct result of British Immigration policies stealing their doctors and nurses. Frequent protests about this by the Indian, Pakistani and other Governments are studiously ignored by government and media over here.

One of the most effective forms of foreign aid we could provide to the Third World would be to give them their doctors and other professionals back and train our own instead. But the likes of Mr Izzard prefer to let Pakistani peasants die for the want of the Pakistani doctors staffing your local GP surgery. Immigration is not only bad for countries on the receiving end, like Britain. It is even worse for those on the sending end.

If we needed to rob the world’s poorest countries of their best people in order to “replace our aging workforce”, “inject dynamism etc into our economy”, then we would, according to the moral values professed by Mr Izzard and his ilk, be wrong to do so. As indeed we are wrong, by their values and ours, to ship in hundreds of thousands of immigrants, often illegally, to sweat in our fields and slave in hotels, building sites and indeed brothels, slave literally in the case of many of them who are trafficked by gangsters who hold them in terror.

Dependence on Foreign Labour

Instead of burning with crusading socialist wrath at Dickensian exploitation going on around him on a scale unseen in Britain for 150 years, the Home Secretary David Blunkett blandly told a recent BBC Panorama programme that “sectors such as construction, agriculture and hospitality depend on foreign-born labour”.

Perhaps he and his Cabinet colleagues would like a taste of what this means for the foreign-born labourers – send them to break their backs picking strawberries, cleaning toilets or selling their bodies, all for a pittance.

What it means for us is short-term profit for a few, medium term unemployment for many more, and long term economic decline and social decay for us all.

Economic decline, because the endless availability of cheap immigrant labour suppresses innovation and new technology. A good example of this, which was ignored by Eddie, is that 2000 years before James Watt the steam engine was invented by a man called Hero of Alexandria. His steam-powered “aeliopile” could have led in a century or two to a Roman Industrial Revolution. It didn’t, because Rome didn’t need machine technology in the short term. It had an endless supply of cheap immigrant labour – very cheap: slaves.

If things needed lifting or moving it was cheaper to use cheap slave labour than to put money into tinkering with steam power and engines. So Rome never advanced, and eventually fell, a fall in which immigrants played no small part. In Britain 300 years ago, there was no such supply of cheap immigrant labour. An early, primitive steam engine could be more economic than paying British workers at the free market rate. So it became worthwhile to develop technology out of toys.

Social Consequences

In the long run social decay will be the worst consequence of immigration. The social consequences of hundreds of thousands of immigrants flooding in every year have already prompted even “left-wingers” like Cambridge’s Professor Bob Rowthorn to protest. This, Eddie carefully didn’t mention.

According to official estimates (which ignore unofficial immigrants!), our population is set to grow by 13 million in the next 40 years, almost all of them immigrants or of recent immigrant stock. Since the indigenous population is falling whilst birth-rates amongst Third Worlders born here remain high, the percentage of post-1948 immigrants and their descendants will rise even faster. If this goes on, within the lifetimes of some of this article’s readers, native Britons will become a minority in the country in which their ancestors have dwelt since the last Ice Age.

One minority among several – Muslims, Hindus, Blacks, Albanians, Roma, Moldovans and so on. You won’t recognise this situation from any of the past Britains Eddie Izzard portrayed. Because it has never, ever, happened here before. But it has happened elsewhere. When it happens here – if we let it happen - Eddie will be right. We will be a “Mongrel Nation”, like Bosnia, Haiti, Rwanda or even Indonesia. But there will be no outside help to intervene and stop the fighting and the killing. Because all the other countries of the West, France, Germany, the USA, will be mongrel nations too. Whilst the hordes of a Third World, starving because we stole those of their people who might have lifted them out of poverty, will flood North and West. Sweeping over Western Civilization as other barbarian “immigrants” swept over Roman Civilization 1500 years ago. And bringing everything down in ruin.

If that happens, Eddie Izzard will at last be right. We weren’t a Mongrel Nation, and we are not one now. But the policies he promotes will bring in the new Dark Age of global barbarism and the deaths of the billions who depend on a technological civilization we built and they will destroy. If we listen to propaganda from the likes of Eddie Izzard and let that happen, those who light their campfires with books in the ruins of our cities will indeed be a Mongrel Nation.



Cllr Salvage judges Tividale Old Peoples' halloween contest

BNP Black Country organiser and Sandwell Councillor, John Salvage, was invited to judge a Halloween contest at a Black Country care home on 31st of October by staff and residents who made a superb effort of getting into the spirit of things in a big way.

Accompanied by Walsall Organiser, and BNP official midlands photojournalist, Bill Locke, John was eagerly greeted by the staff, who took them on a tour of the care home’s three units. The staff had turned up for work voluntarily at 6.30am to make the event worthwhile. John had to make one of the most difficult decisions of his hectic week as the staff and residents of Warrens Hall, in Tividale, had a stunning display of traditional Halloween costumes and other scary witches, spiders and webs, all in various settings and grottos, that would have put a professional theatre stage set team to shame. After an agonising decision, a first prize was given to Rowley ward, while joint second went to Clent and Malvern wards.

The staff presented Councillor Salvage with two bottles of wine, but Councillor Salvage asked that the wine be donated and opened at Christmas, so staff and residents can enjoy a tipple on the day. The staff and operators of Warrens Hall deserve our appreciation and praise, as all their hard work and care can be seen in the excellent clean, and well-kept premises, and the food and kitchen staff really do themselves and their residents proud. Councillor Salvage will be writing to the leader of Sandwell Council to give his insight, and delight at seeing such a superbly run care home.

Our elderly are sorely mistreated and ignored most of the time, and yet without them none of us would be here today, enjoying what is left of their hard won freedom of speech, way of life, and traditional values that made this country what it is - GREAT.

Lee Barnes, the British National Party's legal adviser, reports on

The CRE's Campaign Against BNP Members in the Police

On Wednesday the 12th November 2003 I was informed that the Commission for Racial Equality had published the following statement on their website from the Association of Chief Police Officers.

- The CRE issued the following statement on 10 November 2003 in response to an announcement by the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO), in which a spokesperson stated that 'any police officer who becomes a member of the BNP will therefore render themselves subject of a misconduct investigation and their behaviour will be treated with the utmost seriousness.'
- We welcome ACPO's commitment to take speedy and appropriate action against BNP infiltration of the police service.
- This will be welcomed by ethnic minority communities, whose faith in the criminal justice system has been eroded in recent years. This will also go some way to ensuring that no racist wears a police uniform.

The following E-Mail was sent to A.C.P.O explaining our position once we had read their politically correct rubbish on the C.R.E website. Let us hope they listen to sense and learn that the BNP are not scared of the unlawful threats of the Liberal Fascist elite that are misruing this country.

"Sir,

It has come to our attention that the following statement has been posted on the CRE website and contains allegations in regard to the status of police officers in the BNP.

As we in the BNP have many members in the Police Service across the country , as is their right to do so in a democratic society and under the European Convention on Human Rights and the Human Rights Act 1998 , we feel that this statement is totally unlawful and constitutes an unacceptable politicisation of the Police Service by the Labour Government.

Can you therefore please confirm that this statement was published and released by the A.C.P.O and that it does represent the A.C.P.O position in regards to members of the police being in the BNP.

We are at the moment preparing a legal challenge to the continuing McCarthyite witch hunt within the Police Service as instigated , unlawfully , by the Home Secretary David Blunkett and would request formally as much information that you can provide us as regards the A.C.P.O position on political memberships of their members.

We would of course hope that the Police Service as a whole would resist the unlawful and politically unacceptable interference of the Home Secretary in the Police Service under the shallow aegis of combating racism. We would hope that the Police Service as a public body would appreciate the difference between the legitimate purpose of combating racist crime and the politicising of the Police Service through attacking the BNP and the lawful rights of its members to be members of the BNP. We would also remind you that this Labour Government is transient and that as our society evolves over the coming decades the power of the BNP will increase as our electoral support base increases. If the Police Service takes the decision to become the Political Policing Wing of the Labour Party , and thereby permanently destroying their political and social impartiality in

the process , then this will be reflected in the way our society will view the police in the coming years. We in the BNP , and the hundreds of thousands that support us , will not tolerate the Police Service treating us , and our civil rights , in a second class manner. We therefore ask that the police prioritise crime and stop the political interference in the democratic process.

The police are allowed to police our communities by consent and this consent is guaranteed by the communities' perception of the police as impartial. If the police service decides that it does not have to abide by this principle of impartiality anymore then our democracy will suffer as a result of it. We in the BNP respect the police service and do not want the police to be regarded amongst white communities in Britain as the RUC were regarded amongst Catholic Communities in Northern Ireland. But if this unlawful politicisation of the Police Service continues then this will no doubt naturally arise in the coming decades as a result of the biased policing decisions taken in pursuit of this policy.

We would also remind you that if any officers in the Police Service do suffer detriment as result of their membership of the BNP then we will not hesitate to drag the Labour Government and A.C.P.O. through every court in the United Kingdom and Europe in pursuit of justice for that member of the Police Service. As the Home Secretary confirmed a few weeks ago he has no power in law to prevent police officers being members of political parties and it is only the politicised senior staff in the Police Service that are seeking to put unlawful pressure on police service members to leave the BNP. This is harassment and discrimination on political grounds and is totally unlawful in regards to a public body such as the police. Any such policies will also meet a stiff legal response from us.

There comes a point in time when politics becomes tyranny. This point has been reached in this country. We will not allow the police service to attack us or our members' rights in a democratic state. The law is our sword and shield and we defend our rights to the utmost. Soon this Labour Government and its anti-democratic interferences in the Police Service will become just a dim memory of another transient government. But the decisions made by the Police Service now will set the response of our communities for decades to come. Governments come and go whilst the Police always remain.

In the name of the Rule of Law , Justice and Democracy we demand that you retain your role as public servants and not passive stooges of the transient Labour Government.

Mr. L. J. Barnes LLB (Hons)



Anti-Social Behaviour Reasons and Remedies

by Steve Sherwood,
BNP East Midlands member

IT SEEMS TO have only just dawned on New Labour that Britain is plagued by a yob culture that is causing mayhem and despair amongst many of our people, particularly the elderly.

This isn't, of course, a phenomenon that arrived with Tony and his cronies in 1997, but the situation has certainly worsened since then. Neither can the Tories and certainly not the Liberals remain aloof from their share of the blame.

It is a social disease that has manifested itself for a variety of reasons, but principally because of a potent mix of two conflicting philosophies.

When Thatcherism took root in the country in 1979, many welcomed the tough stance regarding trade union excesses and the promise of law and order with respect for authority, amongst other things. However this promise, along with that of curbing immigration and preserving British identity, proved shallow in the extreme. A philosophy that had at its heart the belief that there was no such thing as society and that the individual was paramount – a basic tenet of Liberal belief – resulted in a country that became obsessed with materialism, selfishness and consumerism.

Those that missed the boat for a variety of reasons would be discarded as irrelevant in this new utopia where money was God. No doubt the feckless and the workshy deserved to be marginalised, but not so the proud working class communities of our northern counties and the midlands, where their main industries not only provided them with a living, but around which a vibrant and uniquely British way of life and social cohesion revolved. Communities were torn apart with scant regard from the so called new right, for whom service, decency, family and community were irrelevant distractions in the scramble for material success.

There were some good things of course from the Tories' long period in office, notable amongst them the stand taken by Mrs Thatcher over the Falklands, and the national pride and patriotism engendered as a result of our armed forces brilliant and courageous campaign. But it was during this period that, despite needing to curtail the militancy of the trade unions and the disruption of the left in general, the notion of the British people having a common bond and destiny was seriously undermined.

The seeds for our destruction

Add to the above developments, the continuation from some time before – probably right back to the late 1950's – of progressive social reconstruction by an increasingly left liberal dominated education and media establishment, then you have a heady mix. A cocktail that has undermined the very essence of our British way of life. It is no underestimate to state that in consequence the seeds for our eventual destruction as a racial and national entity are being nurtured. Unless we manage to convince our fellow countrymen and women of the necessity of supporting the British National Party, then these two often contrary attitudes will hasten our eventual demise.



Liberal attitudes especially from the swinging sixties heralded a new dawn, which was supposed to liberate those marginalised by society. Within 40 years, homosexuality, for example, has emerged from being regarded as a perversion to a viable alternative to normal behaviour, indeed more exciting and radical by sections of the media. Therefore, it has been embraced with gusto by not only its' adherents, but by their gushing acolytes in the press, resulting in pro-gay propaganda in everything from magazines to film.

In turn, marriage and the family have been derided and decent behaviour and standards are sneered at by a plethora of journalists and television producers. New words have appeared in our vocabulary to promote the new creed such as "homophobia", which means that anyone raising an objection to what is happening as being by nature in the wrong, a phobia being a medical term indicating some deep rooted psychological problem.

"Islamophobia" is the latest term labelled at anyone by the new Labour thought police who worries about their country being targeted by murderous militants or objects to the alienation of the communities in which they live, including the demise of the various Christian churches. Much of this is aided and abetted by an army of marxist inspired teachers, who have imbued among their charges a rewritten PC version of history accompanied by a massive guilt trip over the British Empire and the absurd notion that Africa's achievements match those of the European continent. The fact that many of these pupils have absolutely no respect for their teachers seems to escape them. I have personal experience of this.

Where my two daughters went to school, the teachers were known by their first names and it was aimed at creating a really right on and cool atmosphere. The result however of this method of teaching was appalling exam results and graduates who can barely spell and add up. But as success was measured in knowing what trainers to wear and which rap music to buy, these illiterate products still felt a sense of achievement.

Competition was frowned upon, so everyone would be reduced to the same level of non-achievement with old fashioned awards for good work dispensed with, while everyone would get a certificate for trying hard or being nice to the school cat. I'm surprised they didn't give form mentions merely for bothering to turn up! It is no coincidence, that having managed to get them away from this nihilistic environment, they flourished at a school, which still maintained discipline, respect and hard work.

Therefore in many ways it is a twin attack in undermining our beliefs that have stood the test of time, continues apace and if anything is gathering speed. The breakdown of law and order and the attitude of a significant minority of young people

towards authority and civilised values prove the point. An incessant outpouring from our television sets of race-mixing, consumerism, celebrity culture and the need to be "hard and cool" which in modern parlance means adopting the charming subculture of rap amongst others, has led to a disturbingly high number of young people indoctrinated with these mind numbing obsessions.

No sense of identity

This in turn has meant that a generation is growing up with no sense of identity or purpose, other than to be a tool in the service of consumer driven business, or embracing a philosophy of nihilism, that admires violence and gang warfare. It is reflected in a sea of video games, DVDs, and tapes that indirectly promote such anti-social attitudes. No children's programme is complete now unless it has a proportion of ethnic actors, even such programmes that are set in the West of Scotland. Others are fronted by those that extol anything alternative and constantly ram the message of multiculturalism down vulnerable children's throats.

Once they reach 10 or 12 the less talented will resort to the mind numbing and highly destructive yob culture that we all know all too well. You have all seen groups of aggressive posturing youths hanging around estates, the graffiti daubings or tagging, to give it its cretinous modern title, abandoned cars and discarded litter to know what I am referring to.

There is little compunction on behalf of these yobs to avoid committing crime, save the fear of being caught and even then thanks to the insertion of Liberal driven law reforms the realisation that they will escape very lightly if not completely. Many of these brainwashed youth (there is no other word for it) adopt not only the lifestyle but also the dreadful language of these gangsta rappers. They rejoice in a culture which looks forward to the complete breakdown of law and order, where gang warfare is rife and the only criteria is to be top of the putrid pile. A potent mixture of attitudes driven by the twin evils of materialism and political correctness, which will on the one hand excuse and tolerate such behaviour and on the other eulogise and build around it a vibrant urban youth culture. This in turn fulfils the PC brigade's aim of destroying the last vestiges of our British ways and traditions.

The police are often powerless to confront the yobs at the point of occurrence, and will be very wary of tackling black and asian yobs for fear of being labelled racist, especially in light of the recent undercover BBC programme, which will have ongoing repercussions.

The answer lies of course with the radical agenda proposed by the BNP, which will strive to eliminate fear from communities, tackle crime and anti-social activities head on, and implement strong sentences that will effectively deter these miscreants from making decent members of society's lives a misery.

In association with this, a concerted effort to dismantle the destructive and alienating policies of the liberal left especially in education and their attitude towards our people and country, must and will be undertaken by a BNP government.

We have within our ranks the dedicated men and women to implement these policies, pray God and a little bit of luck that the British people give us that chance.

"We must strive to eliminate fear from communities, tackle crime and anti-social activities and implement strong sentences that will effectively deter . . ."

Middlesbrough's Robocop becomes Sheriff Wyatt Earp



ALAN HARDY Reports on a Teesside anti-BNP meeting

Worried about the votes obtained by the BNP last May throughout the North East, the Left, including those Marxists who have captured our trade unions, has organised a Teesside Valley Coalition Against Fascism. Member bodies include: the GMB union, the TUC, Unison, Socialist Workers Party, Labour Party, Liberal Democrat Party, Natfhe, North of England Refugee Service, North of England Coalition for Asylum Rights.

At the inaugural meeting held recently in Middlesbrough Town Hall Council Chambers there was even a police representation in the form of a uniformed superintendent from Redcar.

A prominent speaker was the Mayor of Middlesbrough, Ray Mallon. Readers will recall that he gained national recognition in the 90s for his 'zero tolerance' policy on crime, earning himself the nickname of Robocop. He was suspended from duty in 1997 as part of an anti-corruption investigation instigated by the Cleveland Police themselves. The enquiry took four years. In the end no criminal charges were brought against either him or anyone else. However, the then Chief Constable, Mr Shaw, refused to reinstate him pending 'an internal disciplinary hearing'.

Mr Mallon spoke several times during the evening, beginning with his reminiscences, most of which were forgettable. However, most of what he said about the BNP is worth noting.

He described the party as being composed of 'racist, fascist bullies' and that 'they do not respect democracy'! He added: "They should be banned completely! Laws should be introduced to ban them!"

For his peroration he threw out his arms in a welcoming gesture and said: "I say this to the BNP: come! COME! (Then holding up his right forefinger in a threatening manner) and if they DO come, I will take them on and run them out of town!"

First Robocop and now Wyatt Earp. I have a feeling he will eat those words one day.

The next speaker was a local TUC official, Kevin Rowan, who opened up by continuing the diatribe of abuse against the BNP. He then brought the audience up sharply with some candid comments. "We should consider ourselves lucky that no BNP member was elected in the last election."

In reference to the forthcoming European elections, Mr Rowan said: "The BNP is more sophisticated than it used to be. The prospect of having MEPs from the BNP is hugely frightening. All possible resources," he concluded, "must be mobilised to combat the BNP."

The penultimate speaker was a Peter Widlinski. As he walked towards the lectern he turned to Jackie Woodall of the GMB Union, and master of ceremonies for the meeting, and said: "Actually I'm with the North of England Refugee Service, but tonight I'm representing the North East Coalition for Asylum Rights." Quite frankly, after listening to him, I am minded to the view that he was representing no one but himself.

Jackie Woodall introduced the main speaker of the evening, her colleague in the GMB; the chair of the Northern TUC's Race Relations Advisory Group; the person who had thought up the idea of TVCAF and who had organised the event – Rehana Azam.

Public speaking is not the easiest thing in the world. That aside, Azam was, in a line of uninspiring speakers, without doubt the worst performer of the evening. She endeavoured to outline the practical means by which her brainchild, the TVCAF, was going to combat the BNP. It seemed to boil down to organising events, leafleting and visiting their favourite hunting ground – schools. "From Hartlepool to Darlington", she said, "I want to have a team of co-ordinators."

She concluded her address by saying: "We must keep on reminding ourselves that WE are the majority." Finally, she called upon anyone interested in becoming a co-ordinator for TVCAF to make themselves known. To the best of my observation nobody moved.

Overall, I left the meeting feeling well satisfied. After all, it would not have been convened in the first place if the participants were not taking the BNP seriously on Teesside. We obviously have them worried.

Race Anthrop-Biology: Racist Myth or Racial fact?

DAVID HANNAM considers whether race does affect how we behave

The issues of immigration, crime, social structures and education are often complicated and controversial enough without the added factor of that great taboo race being entered into the equation.

The truth of the matter is that in reality the subject of race is not so controversial; it is publicised only as such by those who want the issue silenced for their own private agendas. For instance, Lord Mayor of London, Ken Livingstone. With the London ethnic population now estimated to be in the region of 30% by some population experts, and with the latest 2003 census forms showing that in certain areas of London there are several White minority boroughs, it makes perfect sense for political opportunists like Livingstone to not only pander to the ethnic vote, but to be seen to be actively condemning those who still believe that racial and cultural diversity exists and that this diversity and these common differences affect how we behave.

Ken Livingstone:

"We have to stand up to racism and support asylum seekers and refugees who contribute so much to our city."

Ken Livingstone also wrote in *The Guardian*: "The BNP should be banned from gaining the rights accorded to genuine political parties in the coming elections. We should ban the BNP which is no more than a racist criminal conspiracy".

Does Race Exist?

Does Our Race Differences Affect How We behave?

To answer these questions, we must first tackle the three myths surrounding Race...

Myth No 1: Differences in our racial-anthropological make-up are inherently negative, such as the claim by some that certain ethnic groups are more prone to criminal activity than others due to their racial-genetic make-up: There are endless positive factors which result from our biological differences, e.g., Black-African people can run faster due to their narrower hips which provides them with a better form of centrifugal balance, to give but one example.

Myth No 2: Racial-biological diversity is used by racists to back-up their claim that White people, or, members of some other ethnic group are ultimately superior in some way: While it is certainly true that a small number of cranks, misfits and uninformed people do publicise such beliefs, they are a fringe-minority. Indeed, the science of race has a wide scope of scientific interest including, Anthropologists, Zoologists, Sociologists, Psychologists and many more academic fields of interest.



"Black-African people can run faster due to their narrower hips which provides them with a better form of centrifugal balance"

Myth No 3: Race is only skin-deep anyway. Race is not just skin deep, as the newspaper media, television and various 'anti-racist' lobby groups would have us believe. The meaning of race consists of our differing skeletal-development, such as the size of our skull, brain, facial features, and importantly our differing hormone levels, e.g. testosterone, which affects our sex-libido and also acts as an aggression hormone.

How do these differences affect how we behave?

To answer this question we must look to the leading scholars of today. I shall focus in this article on the writings of Professor Charles Murray who co-wrote the bestseller *The Bell Curve* (1994 best seller co-written by Charles Murray and Richard J. Herrnstein), and Professor J. Philippe Rushton who wrote *Race, Evolution and Behaviour (A Life History Perspective)* 1995. (Both books can be obtained from the BNP's Excalibur Books, PO Box 116, Leeds LS27 9WW.)

Charles Murray's and Richard J. Herrnstein's *The Bell Curve* focused on the differences in IQ attainment between the various ethnic groups, and came to the conclusion that people of Oriental origin were on average smarter than White people, and White people were on average smarter than Black people. Without going into the actual mathematical system which Murray uses, (although the title should give some indication) as this itself would need extensive space alone to explain, we must understand that the use of the word average is very important here, as Murray's book does not seek to delude us into the ridiculous notion that all Oriental people are smarter than White people, or that all White people are smarter than Black people etc, but that using the Bell Curve Mathematical diagram, it is possible to show common reoccurring themes which prove this average to be true. Basically there are always exceptions to the rule, but the rule on average will always prevail. Here is a general list of Charles Murray's findings, and I would recommend to anyone who finds this article of interest to read this highly informative book.

- 1) People in different job markets have different IQ's. Lawyers have for example an IQ on average around 120, whereas people in the labour market have an IQ bordering on an average 100.
- 2) Taking into account the variables of Socio-Economic background, Age and Marital Status etc, it is still clear that it is IQ that plays the predominant factor in determining whether or not someone will make it into the high earning jobs.
- 3) Regardless of Racial background, it is IQ that will determine whether one person is more successful in the job market than another.
- 4) There are patterns pointing to the average, which concludes that IQ levels differ amongst ethnic groups. Such as the case of a Black child being brought up in a White-educated-middle-class household still achieves a lower IQ level than if a White child were put into that same household. Again, these findings are what the average shows. There are exceptions to the rule.
- 5) Children of mixed racial descent, who are put into a higher socio-economic environment score higher IQ's than children who are Black put into that same environment.
- 6) The Bell Curve showed that people with lower IQ's were statistically more likely to engage in criminal activity, divorce, sexual permissiveness and anti-social behaviour. Ethnically speaking it is Black people who are statistically those most engaging in these activities, with White people next and Oriental people last.

These findings only show the face value results however. They do not show the biological explanations underneath the various

mathematical formulae and statistics; the book only shows the social outcome of our racial-biological programming. For these racial-anthropological explanations we must look to the writing's of Professor J. Philippe Rushton, author of *Race, Evolution and Behaviour*.

Anthrop-Racial Explanations

Rushton set out to make his case why he believed that race is indeed more than just skin deep in his book *Race, Evolution and Behaviour*. He offered definite scientific explanations as to the biological reasons as to why some ethnic groups are prone to certain behaviour, or, why some ethnic groups exceed in sports over other groups, etc.

Rushton investigates the racial inconsistencies between the various ethnic groups, focusing on the different speeds of maturation, life expectancy, hormone levels, spread of Aids, Brain Size in comparison with IQ intelligence, genes, and his devised R-K Strategy, which explains why people of Black-African origin have higher reproduction rates and people of Oriental origin have lower reproduction rates, and why Whites fall in-between. Here is a general summary of Rushton's conclusions and findings:

1) A three-way pattern is revealed in regard to race differences. Usually with Orientals at one extreme of the pattern, Blacks at the opposite end, and Whites generally falling in-between. Black babies mature faster than White babies, but Oriental's are slower than Whites. Black children develop muscularly, dentally, and generally physiologically much sooner than White children or Oriental children. Black children therefore reach puberty faster, and experience on average their first sexual experience sooner. Orientals are slowest to mature and Whites fall in-between.

2) In the US Black people make up approx 13% of the population at the time of Rushton's study, but make up 50% of all arrests for murder and 67% for all arrests for robbery. Orientals are "underrepresented" in US crime statistics. Whites fall in-between the two. This same pattern is found in London, England, when at the time of writing the Black population was 13% according to Rushton's figures.

3) Death Rates. Black people have higher death rates than Whites and Orientals outlive Whites on average by two years. However, Black people have the highest reproduction rate in the world. Africa, despite the turmoil of famine, disease and internal warfare remains the fastest growing population. On average, a US woman will have 14 descendants whereas a Black woman will have 258. Suicide however is highest amongst Orientals, then Whites and lastly Black-Africans. One explanation is that Black people have on average higher self-esteem than White people. Rushton makes use of a widespread youth survey amongst school children to back-up this fact.

4) The three races differ in hormone levels. In College studies in the US, it was found that Black students have on average 10% - 20% higher testosterone levels than White students. Testosterone according to Rushton is a "master switch" that affects "self-concept, aggression, altruism, crime, and sexuality".

5) Sex organs and our general physiological characteristics differ to a large extent also. Ovulation rates differ between the races. Black women on average have shorter cycles than White women do. Producing often two eggs in a single cycle. This means Black women are more fertile than White women. As for twins, triplets and quadruplets, Rushton found that the rate of two egg-twins is 4 in every 1000 amongst Orientals, 8 for Whites, but for Black women it is often double at 16. Again, this verifies Rushton's belief that race patterns show a three-way pattern. Blacks most fertile, then Whites and lastly Orientals. On the male side, testicular sizes also differ. Asians = 9 grams, Whites = 21 grams. No study, to the date of this article, has been published on Black people's testicle size. Some leading scientists believe size of testicle is an indication of how fertile a person, or peoples are.

6) IQ and Brain Size. Rushton uses Charles Murray's *The Bell Curve* to illustrate and back-up his biological findings. Oriental



Opponents deface the office door of psychologist Professor J. Phillippe Rushton at the University of Western Ontario, Canada.

people have larger brains measuring 1,364 cc, Whites measured 1,347 cc and Black people measured on average 1,267 cc. Therefore Oriental people have 1 cubic inch more brain matter than do White people, and Whites on average 5 cubic inches more brain matter than Black people. Rushton believes that as 1 cubic inch brain matter contains millions of brain cells and neurological pathways that affect our response time, reflexes etc, brain sizes do indeed affect IQ levels.

7) Rushton formulated his R-K Life Strategy Theory to explain why Whites, Orientals and Blacks have evolved so differently. His Chapter Life-History Theory makes the following conclusions: Survival, growth and reproduction are most crucial in evolutionary terms for any species to survive.

The symbol R stands for Reproduction and K for how much personal care parents give to their offspring. Rushton concludes that Black-African people are generally R-strategists, i.e., to survive evolutionary they have great numbers of offspring to combat the unstable climate they inhabit so as to ensure survival of their kind. The K-Strategists put more effort into producing less offspring and giving a select number more attention to ensure their survival. K-Strategist communities develop more intrinsically, and will develop complicated social-systems. Evolution has shown that if an organism has a smaller brain, it will counter this by reproducing more; this explains why a Chimpanzee will only produce one offspring every 4 years, whereas a frog will produce 200 eggs a year. This is evolution's way of ensuring species survival. In conclusion, Rushton states that Orientals are the most K-Strategist, Blacks the most R-Strategist, and Whites once again fall in-between. The three-way pattern again holds true. For a more detailed explanation I suggest the reader obtains a copy of this important book by visiting www.charlesdarwin.com.

Does Race Exist?

Race Does Exist! Race does affect how we behave. However, the actual meaning of the term Race is far more complicated, and skin pigmentation alone means very little when it comes to describing racial differences, and how they affect the way we behave. It is of paramount importance that these differences are explored with great care and attention; it would be disastrous for fringe-group racists on either side of the political spectrum to use the scientific study of race to their own negative agendas. Recognising race is not a matter of ascertaining whether one people are superior to another, but actually recognising those differences as part of something precious to be studied and preserved.

Hopefully there will come a day when all peoples from whatever ethnic-background can recognise each other's ethnic differences, be they Anthrop-Biological or Sociological, and accept them as something to be preserved, and together we can reject the multi-racial societies that politicians in conjunction with media and big business have envisioned.



John Bean's Nationalist Notebook



Rugby's boost for patriotism

No DOUBT that many of the old parties' politicians were as thrilled as we were at the England rugby team's triumph, but New Labour lost no time in cashing in on the resultant upsurge of English patriotism and the feel-good factor, with champagne time at No 10 !

Rugby is the antithesis of what the liberal-left stand for. It does not meet their "inclusiveness" standards with a good proportion of multiracial players as in football, although we have notable exceptions such as Jason Robinson with his marvellous try at the Sydney final. It is a hard, physical game of attrition, which the working men of Old Labour supported, particularly the Rugby League teams that still dominate over Rugby Union in the North of England, as well as people in the Midlands and the South of more affluent backgrounds. The game's aggression, manliness and elitism – attributes that are out of fashion elsewhere – do not fit in with the general image of Labour, Lib-Dem, or most Tory Parliamentarians, although the latter can show some exceptions from the old school.

Whilst I was toasting the victory of the English rugby team in my local on that famous Saturday a person I know who is an active supporter of the Liberal Democrats (although he is quite house trained) told me that although he wanted England to win he feared that it would only play into the hands of 'racists' such as me (I do not hide my allegiances in my village). When I asked him why, he said: "Look at the England supporters. Like the team, they are overwhelmingly white, and dominated by blond Nordic types." Suspecting he was giving me a wind up here, I pointed out that twinkling toes Robinson was not exactly a blond Nordic, nor was our captain, Martin Johnson, who is more of a Celt.

When I saw on television the crowds who were at Heath Row at 5 a.m. to welcome home the team, I thought that perhaps my Lib-Dem friend had a point. If they all vote BNP we have a chance of pulling off our victory.

Football gets the full Monti treatment

ENGLAND'S FOOTBALL Premier League finds that its finances are being threatened by Mario Monti, Brussels' competition commissioner.

Mr Monti's meddlesome miscreants ruled some time back that the League's £1 billion-a-year contract with BSkyB was in breach of EC competition laws, even though rights had been freely offered to the highest bidder in a deal that was approved by Britain's restrictive practices court. Monti's officials ordered that the television rights must be divided into separate "packages" to enable other bidders, such as the BBC and ITV to bid for at least part of them.

The English Premier League did as they were told but Sky still won all four main packages – how this happened is another story. Monti's miscreants decided that this was not good enough and are now working on another plan to move the goal posts again. According to Christopher Booker, writing in the *Sunday Telegraph* recently, whatever they come up with will have a devastating effect on the Premier League's income. First likely to go to the wall will be the £60 million devoted each year to schemes, such as the Football Foundation, that encourage football at the grass roots.

Incidentally, our reason for choosing "the full Monti" in our heading is that Mr Monti was also the genius who was behind the EC directive which created our 118 directory enquiry shambles by forcing BT to abolish its old tried and trusted 192 service. He was also responsible for the new rules that will end Ryanair's cheap flights to France and restore the monopoly of Air France – which illegally receives a £2.4 billion French state subsidy !

Muslim reluctance to condemn terrorism

BECAUSE THE decline and decay of our nation is directly the responsibility of the old parties, this does not necessarily mean that their parliamentarians cannot occasionally speak sense.

A case in point is Denis MacShane, a Foreign Office Minister. He has told Muslims they must make a choice between the "British way" of political dialogue and Islamic terrorism. His comments came the day after the terrorist attack on the British Consulate – and HSBC bank, for some reason – in Istanbul which killed the British Consul and 26 other people. Mr MacShane urged imams and other Muslim leaders to use "clearer, stronger language" to speak out against terrorism. "It is time for the elected and community leaders of British Muslims to make a choice: it is the British way – based on political dialogue and non-violent protests – or it is the way of the terrorists", he said.

Needless to say, this commonsense statement drew a furious reaction from leaders of Britain's 2.5million Muslims – well, that's the official figure. Anas Altikriti, the director of communications for the Muslim Association of Britain, said Mr MacShane's remarks were "an outrage" and



"no way" to address law-abiding Muslims. Massoud Shad-jareh, the chairman of the Islamic Human Rights Commission, described Mr MacShane's call for "clearer, stronger language" as ridiculous.

Mazeltov

IN THE glory days of Mrs Thatcher's premiership, it was noticeable how many of her leading lieutenants were Jewish, leading Harold Macmillan snobbishly to remark that a party once led by Old Etonians was now being run by Old Estonians.

"The good times have come again. Not only is the new leader Jewish, but also his shadow chancellor, Oliver Letwin; and his co-chairman, Maurice Saatchi; and his new chief of staff, Stephen Sherbourne, who was once Mrs Thatcher's political secretary. One Jewish MP laughed to me: 'Soon we will be called the Torah party.' "

The above is an interesting comment to make on the new look of the Tory Party under Michael Howard (son of an immigrant), particularly if one bears in mind that the Jewish people represent less than one per cent of the overall UK population. The comment comes not from some obscure anti-semitic monthly but from Mandrake's column in the *Sunday Telegraph* of 16th November.

New look for the Black Watch

WITH OUR soldiers being scattered around the globe on various "peace missions", including the 11,000 still in Iraq, they are stretched to the limit.

Hundreds are applying to leave the forces every week because of the strain put upon their families whom they see less and less. Yet now the Government announces that up to 10 of the Army's most famous regiments are to be disbanded with 9000 soldiers facing redundancy.

At first it was said that the Black Watch, the Army's oldest regiment, and the Royal Scots would be among those for the chop. But such was the opposition mounted in Scotland that they are now likely to be just 'slimmed down' and battalions of the Royal Irish Regiment, the Foot Guards and the Parachute Regiment be disbanded instead. The objective, of course, is to try and save some of the £27 billion of the present defence budget. Any savings will be added to the existing £80 billion NHS budget and probably be wasted by bureaucrats, as opposed to providing more doctors and nurses. Education and the handouts to countless asylum seekers will take care of anything left over!

But what exactly is going on with the Army? While saying that it wants to cut the strength by 9,000, the MOD also says that the Army is 5000 short of its official establishment. It is now revealed that despite denials from Ministers to the contrary, the objective is to reach the target by recruiting Commonwealth citizens and 2000 have been signed on in the past year, mainly from Africa and Asia. Is the long term plan to make our Armed Forces more multiracial? If so, as few Scots are willing to join the Black Watch it could be a natural home for our new African soldiers.

As one of our readers said, the happenings of today look more

and more like a re-run of the collapse of the Roman Empire.

Asylum panto is banned

A PANTOMIME called *Snow White and the Seven Asylum Seekers* has been banned because it is accused of being racist. It includes characters called Chemical Ali, Comical Ali, Back Ali, Dark Ali, Bowling Ali, Ali G and Ali-Kissangeli.

It was due to be performed at the village hall in Merton, near Great Torrington, Devon, but Tim Horner, chairman of the village hall committee, said he took advice from racial equality councils and decided on the ban if the panto is not rewritten. But the writer and producer, Bob Harrod, has refused to alter the show. He branded the decision as a load of old rubbish. *Good on yer, Bob!*

The key to Aladdin's cave?

WITH THE news that thousands of asylum seekers are to be welcomed as new citizens of Birmingham next year, with a gift of a key ring, BNP Birmingham members are saying it will be useful to hang the key to Aladdin's cave on, for that's what they see once they have jumped off the back of a lorry.

The gift, bearing the city's coat of arms, will be presented to 'refugees' swearing fealty to the Queen and taking a citizenship oath at official ceremonies expected to start next April. The scheme has been drawn up as part of the new Nationality, Immigration, and Asylum Act (Labour's gutless way of dealing with the problem of illegal immigrants who won't go home).

Groups of asylum seekers up to 50-strong will attend mass citizenship ceremonies at Birmingham's Council House and be given a pep talk by the city's Lord Mayor. Council chief executive Lin Homer said the Council has decided against playing the National Anthem. "Any music used must be secular and unlikely to cause offence," she said.

According to the *Birmingham Evening Mail* of October 21st, Birmingham is expected to receive 10,000 asylum seekers this year alone.


Icelandic lessons

ICELAND, WITH a population of about 280,000 largely descended from Danes, Norwegians and a few Irish who began settling there over a thousand years ago, guards its identity by making immigration difficult and by scrupulously maintaining its archaic Viking language.

Iceland accepts only those 'refugees' who make the country their first stop, which is to say almost none. Iceland refuses to kowtow to English, devising words based on Icelandic for such omnipresent American neologisms as aerobics and chat room. While the Icelanders may eventually yield to the globalist push (Burger King is already operating in Reykjavik), today this largely self-sufficient people demonstrates the possibility, as well as the value, of guarding one's own biocultural integrity.

We are indebted to The Occidental Herald of the USA for the above information.

Veteran BNP activist Joe Owens warns



This Is Not a Protest

We Are Fighting For Our People's Existence

I FIRST GOT INVOLVED with Nationalism in 1978, just after leaving school. The National Front was the main nationalist party and to me it seemed ready to take the country by storm.

I was invited to a meeting at an Orange Hall in Liverpool that represented some group operating out of Belfast. It was a well attended meeting and very professionally run. It had a chairman, secretary and organiser, all sitting behind a Union Jack draped table.

After several Monday meetings, it didn't take long to realise we were preaching to the converted and nobody else.

On display at the meeting were copies of National Front News, the party's main publication. I said it was no good just us reading this, month in, month out, as we knew what was wrong and how to fix it. We needed to get our message over to the public and spread the word and push the National Front into the public domain.

I suggested we sell NF News in the city centre of Liverpool on a Saturday afternoon. To my amazement nearly everyone worked on a Saturday or had other things to do. It was left to me and one or two others.

No sooner had we started selling the papers then we were attacked by what I now know to be ANL (Anti-Nazi League). We held our own, until the police came and cleared us all away. The following Saturday ANL were waiting for us. A friend of mine brought some guys from a hard right group, which put our numbers up to about six. We hadn't even got the papers out the bag when we were attacked by about 30 or so ANL. They tore into us and set about kicking us up and down Church St. When all the dust had settled three lads from the hard right group were arrested and four ANL members. The only victory being an ANL member who had his jaw broken in two places.

This was the beginning of a long hard fought battle to maintain our right to sell Nationalism in Liverpool City Centre. It started in 1978 and ended in 1988. Space does not permit me to catalogue all the

events that happened during those ten years, but believe me it was a time of excitement, adrenalin and fear. They were times when one had to watch for one's self when promoting Nationalism.

How things have changed. And this comes to why I thought it necessary to put pen to paper. We are now approaching the most important stage in the party's history, with Council and Euro elections around the corner. The history changing magnitude of 2004 can't be over emphasised enough. Most Council seats will be up for grabs, with coming third will give you a place on the council. We couldn't ask for better odds.

A seat (or seats) in the European Parliament would catapult us into the Big League and leave all our enemies fighting for breath. The revenue alone would help transform the way we are run. It's an opportunity we can't ignore.

Yet sadly, we had only raised £12,000 pound (as I write) towards an expected £200,000 needed to fight this campaign. What's going wrong? I do believe a lot of people who have come into the Nationalist scene of late do not really understand what this fight is all about. They understand the things they see in front of them, such as asylum seekers invading our shores and endless crime ripping the country apart. They see the obvious things

"We are now approaching the most important stage in the party's history, with council and Euro elections around the corner. The history changing magnitude of 2004 can't be over emphasised enough."

that no one with an ounce of sense could miss. Many of them have not really understood that this fight is one of survival and existence, and that if we lose we sign our death warrant as a people in these isles. In consequence it hasn't given them an incentive to fight and survive. It just gives them an opportunity to protest and shout and not have to step in to the boxing ring. They just don't take it all serious.

Then we come to people who should know better. These people go to meetings, throw a few pound in the collection and think that's about to save the Country. Wrong, it's not. These people have endless excuses for not doing a few hours leafleting a week and are always busy. They have been around for some time and do know what this fight is all about, yet still don't give all they can. They prefer a career and selfish money-making to their professed love for the cause. Their cause ends when the bucket has gone round. I know principles do not pay your bills and we all have to earn a crust to survive, but I am referring to people not short of a pound or two. They could do more and they know they could.

We need now to train and educate all new people coming into the party with an ideological blood transfusion. They need to learn that our forefathers would turn in their graves if they could see what is happening to this once great country and see who their descendants were. The great battles of Agincourt and Hastings and thousands more, need once again be burned on the memories of our people for centuries to come.

Our enemies have won in the past, because of their hate for everything this country stood for. The ethno masochists hate their own race; the feminist hates beautiful women and the unborn child. It's about time we started to hate all that aims to destroy us.

We must educate ourselves

Every organiser in every town and city should now educate himself in the origins of our people and of western civilisation and how its survival is crucial. He then needs to train and educate all the foot soldiers now coming into the party. We need to re-educate and(not brainwash as the left have done) arm them with the most powerful weapon on earth: the truth. Only then can new people understand why we must fight to the end.

Once our new people are aware of why we fight, and why our enemies hate us so much, they can then get on with the job without thinking this is just a protest.

Let me take you back to the beginning of this article. We literally had siege conditions selling NF/BNP papers down Church Street every time we showed up there. Reds and Nationalists fighting for an inch of ground to hold, so each could sell there creed.

We had people getting arrested and people getting hurt, on both sides. Not only in Liverpool, but the length and breadth of the country. That was Nationalism, Weimar Republic Style.

Well I've got news for you all now, the battle fields are empty and only us are players. No longer do you have to prepare yourself for a punch-up to spread the word or get a new recruit. Just bring yourself out for a few hours, put on your best suit, and off you go. How things have changed! .So no one's got an excuse not to do their bit.

More £1000 donations needed

The strategy and tactics of the new BNP have totally disarmed our physical and verbal enemies, to the point of silence. The battle field is now all ours with not a worry in sight. We have achieved this mammoth task and can achieve more,if we all pull together.

Getting back to the Euro elections, I don't believe 50 or a 100 Nationalists do not have a £1000 pound to spare from now till

"We can't keep running away from our responsibilities and think someone else will do it for us. Time is not on our side and we need to act now, before our enemies do. They more than anyone realise the potential of the BNP. It's now or never."

June for the Euro campaign. I've donated my £1000. We can and we must achieve our goals and not wait until the next Euro elections. Our battle now is not about holding the flag in some town or city and fighting some medieval battle to sell our party publications, it's about winning hearts and minds on the doorstep. There are a multitude of ways one can raise money for this campaign, as well as going to the bank and drawing out what you can. We can't keep running away from our responsibilities and think someone else will do the fighting for us, that just won't happen. Time is not on our side and we need to act now, before our enemies do. They more than anyone realise the potential of the BNP. It's now or never.

All over the globe our people are scattered, running away from a country that's deserted them, a country they no longer call home. Well, if you are one of those people or think you can run away from the Multi-Cultural Nightmare then think again.

Spain, France, Australia and many more countries our people have fled to, are now undergoing the same transformation that we are. You can't run anywhere.

Let me finish by quoting from a piece of English literature by Somerset Maugham,which conveys my meaning as no other exposition could . . .

Death Speaks:

There was a merchant in Bagdad who sent his servant to the market to buy provisions and in a little while the servant came back, white and trembling, and said,

"Master, just now when I was in the market place I was jostled by a women in the crowd and when I turned I saw it was Death that jostled me. She looked at me and made a threatening gesture; now, lend me your horse and I will ride away from this city and avoid my fate. I will go to Samarra and Death will not find me."

The merchant lent him his horse, and he dug his spurs in its flanks and as fast as the horse could gallop he went.

Then the merchant went down to the market place and he saw me standing in the crowd and came to me and said, "Why did you make a threatening gesture to my servant when you saw him this morning?"

"That was not a threatening gesture." I said, "it was only a start surprise. I was astonished to see him in Bagdad, for I had an appointment with him tonight in Samarra."

Speakers' Corner

P.O.BOX 97, NEWMARKET, SUFFOLK, CB8 8WT, ENGLAND/ Email: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

Rigging the National Trust's governing body

I was interested to read the report by Philip Peters in the October issue about Ms Elsie Owusu, 'Chair' of the Society of Black Architects. This is not the first time I have heard of this lady. A year or two ago a certain Elsie Owusu (surely the same?) was elected to the governing body of the National Trust amid the inevitable self-congratulatory guff about the Trust's "growing inclusiveness".

The manner of her election was interesting: members of the Trust vote by postal ballot for their preferred candidates (the popular vote), but members who do not wish to vote in person can leave the Chairman to do so for them (the proxy vote). Ms Owusu received one of the lowest popular votes but, because the Chairman exercised his large proxy block vote in her favour, she was duly elected. Several candidates who obtained far higher popular votes were not elected, among them that excellent Englishman and countryman, Robin Page.

Democracy 'right-on' style. Thus does the modern establishment stroke its favoured pets!

J.R.Bell
Slough

Asian workers for export jobs

Andrew Webster writes about the export of British jobs (*Speakers' Corner*, September). If a British company wishes to export its activities to the sub-continent, it should recruit the people to work there from Asian people currently living here, so that they can return to their homeland with their families and a good standard of living.

Mona McNee
Merseyside

Letwin's safe seat

Following Nick Griffin's article in October *Identity*, with his comments on Oliver Letwin, the picture tells a thousand words. This particular revolting specimen of Dorset politics,

whilst ignoring Police misconduct in his own constituency will, like other Dorset politicians, say anything, do anything, and look a prat to further his own ends. He can only achieve this because the voters in Dorset provide him with a safe seat to do this from.

Dorset taxpayers should be aware that they will get the politicians representing them that they deserve. I invite you to see the website criticising him and other Dorset politicians at: www.dorsetvictimsupport.org.

Sylvia Butten
Aylesbury

White world solidarity

Go back a few years and the only concern shown by the BNP for events outside of these shores was for the countries of the old White

Commonwealth, plus South Africa. In fact, I suspected that most BNP people in those days thought that "wogs begin at Calais". (*I am sure you use the expression 'wogs' in a jocular and not disrespectful manner -Editor*). I am therefore pleased to see the more realistic attitude taken by the BNP Chairman, Nick Griffin, and the editor of *Identity* in advocating co-operation with all the European nations **and** the old white Commonwealth, plus the true American people. I appreciate that this does not, of course, mean that we should support a Federal Europe a government of bankers, bureaucrats and Brussels mafia.

We must keep white world solidarity now that we are only ten per cent of the world's population. To talk as if only the Anglo Saxons, Celts, and other North Europeans (great bearers of civilisation that they are) are the only whites who really matter is dangerous, divisive nonsense.

Frederick Miller
London

Blacks in 18th Century Britain

With reference to Steve Johnson's article on the bogus history programme *Mongrel Nation*, whilst there is certainly documentary evidence of Blacks in 18th Century Britain, this is by no means proof that there was any settled community. One must remember that British winters were much colder then than now, and the absence of central heating would have made conditions intolerable to natives of warmer climates.

Whatever circumstances

brought Blacks to Georgian Britain, there would have been little incentive for them to stay. There was no welfare state to cosset them and no politically correct mass media brainwashing White people into miscegenation.

For the final proof that interbreeding did not occur, we can refer to the work of the Victorian anthropologist, John Beddoe. During the 1880s Beddoe conducted a thorough ethnic search of the UK (documented in his *The Races of Britain*). No mention was made by him of any non-Whites living in Britain. Had there been any descendants of Blacks from the Georgian period living in the country, the traces would still have been evident in Beddoe's time. Indeed, Beddoe commented about immigration on page 140 of his book, noting that there had been little immigration during the previous two centuries (migrations from Ireland were not considered to be foreign immigration) and that what had taken place was chiefly the Germans and German Jews.

Glenn Burton
Southend-on-Sea

Education is failing the NHS

Because there are not enough 'homegrown' people available to fill vacancies in the NHS as doctors, nurses, etc and particularly in the South I ask myself what is it about the British education system that we cannot produce enough professional people to fill these vacancies.

I do not believe for one moment that the working classes of this country are 'thick' or that their children are not inheritably bright enough to undertake such professional work. I suspect the primary reason is one of lack of will by the education authorities to adequately teach children who they do not understand or

empathise with. The tendency is that these teachers are overwhelmingly of a bourgeois, internationalist outlook, whose concern, in a dreamlike, far away look, is for some idyllic type of humanity from far away places. Little Johnny and Jane sat at the back of the class are the least of their concerns.

A secondary factor may be the home environment of the child, which may not have a tradition of aspirations for professional education and training. This is often because the parents themselves have been alienated by the nature of the school system.

Alexander Cyril
Bradford

An example to us all

Today, I am sending the BNP a cheque for £500 for the Euro Election Fund. I have also been a member of the Trafalgar Club for the last three years. My annual salary is only £14,000. All party members (and even supporters) should examine their consciences and do their bit.

We've a great chance of sending some MEPs to Brussels like the UK Independence Party did four years ago. We need to maintain our upwards momentum. Come on everybody!

R.Ellis
Manchester

BNP members in the Police

The following letter was sent on behalf of Norfolk British National Party to the Norwich *Evening News*:

"Your report on the attempt of Norfolk Constabulary to try and find a way of banning police officers from holding membership of the British

National Party should alarm all civil libertarians.

"To suggest that BNP members in the police force would not deal professionally with ethnic minorities is as ludicrous as saying that our members who are doctors and nurses would not apply the same care and professionalism in dealing with black patients as they would white. Such an insinuation is both false and thoroughly insulting.

"The public quite rightly are not in the least bit interested in the private political affiliations of ordinary police officers. What every taxpayer in Britain wants to see is more police officers on the street apprehending thugs and burglars, not Chief Constables engaging in politically correct witch hunts at the behest of Labour politicians."

Diane Smith
PO Box 3002
Norwich NR6 6ZW

'Corry' promotes homosexuality


Coronation Street is showing incidents of homosexuality for the first time in its 43-year history. This move, we can be sure, has been prompted purely by a need to court controversy and boost ratings. Why else would the storyline, of two confused eighteen year olds, have had pictures released weeks ahead of its appearance now on the screen?

The "homosexual mafia" will be delighted that the producers are not interested in mentioning the harmful effects of a so-called "homosexual life style" on an eighteen year old boy. These include a dramatically reduced life expectancy because of resultant diseases.

Louisa Beckett-Smith
Halesowen
West Midlands.

Paul Cromie

Bradford British National Party
Chairman and Treasurer.



BNP Members and supporters gather outside Paul's garage

Paul, who can be seen dressed as Santa on our festive front cover, is none other than Bradford BNP's Chairman and Treasurer. Paul came second in his home ward of Queensbury in last May's council elections, he was just 144 votes from victory. In September he planned a public meeting in the local council owned hall to thank his electorate. What followed was a testament to both the lying and twisted left wing council and to the will and determination of both Paul and the local BNP members and supporters.

When and why did you get involved with the BNP?

I saw Nick Griffin gagged on TV and I was curious as to why he had been prevented from speaking. I then joined the BNP in 2001 after the Bradford riots.

When you took over organising Bradford South you asked to be allowed to do things a certain way. Can you explain them and why you chose to do things that way?

I agreed to become involved in the organisation of Bradford BNP but it had to be done my way. I wanted to put my home phone number and my photograph on all my leaflets and I also wanted to do away with re-direct points for meetings. Basically, I wanted to give the BNP a normal and respectable public face, and it worked. My phone was red hot with enquiries and after a year Bradford won the Party award for signing up the most new members.

Why did you choose to book the hall and how did you go about booking it?

I wanted to book the hall and hold a public meeting in order to thank the electorate for voting for me. I rang the council who in return sent me the booking forms but said because it was the BNP it would have to go before their legal team. A couple of weeks later they agreed

and subsequently I booked the hall.

Can you describe the events leading up to the meeting?

At 10.30 on the morning of the meeting I received a phone call from Bradford council to inform me the meeting had been cancelled "in the interest of public safety", they also asked me to dissuade anyone from attending the now cancelled meeting. At 1.30 pm I was visited by three police officers who told me that the Bradford Trades Union Congress had threatened to occupy the building and refuse the BNP entry.

You actually held the meeting, how did you manage this and how did the meeting go?

Yes, the meeting did go ahead and was the best meeting in Bradford to date. I own a garage and MOT business not 100 yards from the original venue and we held it there, leaving stewards to direct people from the hall.

Any Last Words?

Yes! We later discovered that the council's decision to ban the meeting was illegal and we are currently taking them to court. I would also like to thank James Breslin, Andy Sykes and my wife, Lynda, as this was a team effort and couldn't have been done without them.




News

Party News From Around the Country

Birmingham

Fantastic Result in Asian Area

On November 20th a by-election was held in the Birmingham Council seat of Stockland Green. This area is almost one third Asian and had never been contested before by the BNP. Yet our candidate, Rob Purcell, received an excellent result of 503 votes, 15.7% of the poll. But many white Britons who had promised our canvassers that they would turn out, stayed at home. Apathy is one of the biggest hurdles facing us.



Rob Purcell

15.7%

Oldham

By-Election Result

Failsworth East is the Oldham Council ward that went to the polls on November 20th in a by-election. The BNP candidate came second with 17.9% of the poll. If this were followed through in June 2004 at the Euro Elections across the North West Region overall then it would guarantee one BNP MEP, with the chance of two.

Back in May, next-door Failsworth West saw the party's Charlie Styles poll 756 votes to come second to the winning Labour candidate who polled 1284. Both wards are similar in make up.

Margate

The Thanet Council by-election for Margate Central ward on October 30th again not previously contested by the BNP produced another encouraging result.

19.1%

Other News

Full up at East Midlands meeting

Saturday evening 22 November witnessed a successful East Midlands evening with a ticket sell out and some 110 people enjoying the atmosphere of companionship and purpose of the evening. More than 20 other people were disappointed that because of fire regulations the maximum places had already been allocated. Moreover, £700 was raised for party funds edging our bank balance up to £3,000 for future election campaigns. A rousing rendition of Jerusalem during the evening was a very moving experience. The event was organised by Peter

Francis, the number 1 candidate for the East Midlands Euro seat. Five new members joined the party as a result on this event and it was good to see some nationalist stalwarts from a previous era.

Exeter meeting

On Thursday, 20/11/03 a Devon & Cornwall Branch meeting was held in Exeter. Nearly 40 "locals" turned up (we only invited "locals") The collection came to £603.00, with volunteers for leafleting, treasurer and organiser. Nick Baker is going to assist as temporary organiser to get the branch started. He is then going to set up a group in Okehampton. Leafleting is also being carried out in Tiverton, where there are now a number of asylum seekers.

TORIES CHOOSE NEW CHIEF



www.bnpp.org.uk

but their song remains the same

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY MEMBERSHIP FORM

Please complete and return to: The Secretary, PO Box 841, Balsham, Cambs CB1 6WF
NAME..... TEL. No.

ADDRESS.....

..... POST CODE..... E-MAIL.....

I enclose a family membership fee of £35 for one year (please give your name and address on this side and names and ages of others on separate paper)

I enclose my fee of £25 for one year

I enclose my fee of £12 for one year and qualify for the reduced rate as:

OAP Unwaged Student (Please send photocopied proof of entitlement)

Are you under 24? if so state age..... you qualify for membership of the Young BNP at no extra cost.

Do you wish to be an active member of the party? Yes / No

Please list any skills or facilities you have which may be of use to the BNP:

Cheques payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage'.